arXiv:hep-ph/0604079v1 10 Apr 2006

Second Order Thermal Corrections to Vacuum Polarization in QED—–A Covariant approach

Semina S. Masood[1],[2] and Mahnaz Q. Haseeb[3]
[1] Mathematics Department,College of Arts and Science, SUNY-IT, Utica,NY 13504.[2] Physics Department,SUNY College at Cortland, Cortland, NY 13045.[3] Physics Department,COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan.

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We study the electromagnetic properties of a hot medium at temperatures below electron mass. It was observed earlier that the first order hot loop corrections do not affect the electromagnetic properties of hot media due to the absence of self-interaction of photons. However, the second order contributions are found to modify these properties due to the overlapping hot and cold loops. It has also been noticed that the hot loops have to be integrated before the cold ones to establish the order by order cancelation of singularities to ensure renormalizability of the theory. It is also explicitly shown that the perturbative series of QED is still a convergent series in a covariant formalism at low temperatures.

1 INTRODUCTION

In quantum field theory, the thermal background effects are incorporated through the radiative corrections. The renormalization of gauge theories at finite temperature and density (FTD) requires renormalization of gauge parameters of the corresponding theory. Propagation of particles and the electromagnetic properties of media are also known to change in FTD framework and this change could be determined through renormalization techniques. The massive particles thereby get enhancement in their masses at one-loop [1-3], two-loop[4] and presumably at all loop levels. However, the gauge bosons acquire a first order dynamically generated mass due to plasma screening effect[5,6] in gauge theories. It helps to determine the changes in electromagnetic properties [7] of a medium. This effect has already been studied in detail at the one-loop level and showed it explicitly [5]that the electric permittivity and the magnetic susceptibility of a medium are not modified by incorporating the first order thermal background effects. At low temperatures, i.e. $T << m_e$(where m_e is the electron mass), the vacuum polarization tensor in order α does not acquire any hot corrections from photons in the heat bath because of the absence of self-interaction of photons in QED. However, the higher order corrections to the vacuum polarization tensor of photons are nonzero in the same background. The electromagnetic charge in QED modifies in such a situation and thus leads to the modifications in the electromagnetic properties of a medium. These statistical contributions are calculated either in Euclidean or Minkowski space by using imaginary or real-time formalism, respectively. In Euclidean space the covariance breaks and time is included as an imaginary parameter. On the other hand, in the real-time formalism, an analytical continuation of energies along with Wick's rotation restores covariance in Minkowski space at the expense of Lorentz invariance. It has been noticed earlier that the breaking of Lorentz invariance can lead to the non-commutative nature of gauge theories[8]. This covariance is incorporated through 4-component velocity of background heat bath which is given as $u^\mu = (1,0,0,0)$. The Lorentz invariance breaking hot term and conserving cold terms remain separate at the one loop level since the propagators comprise of temperature dependent (hot) terms added to temperature independent (cold) terms. This is how the simplification in calculations is ensured by segregation of hot and cold terms in this formalism. At the two-loop level an overlap of hot and cold terms occurs and the specific order of integration is the only way to get correct finite results. At the higher loop level,this combination (overlap) of hot and cold terms in the propagators makes the situation somewhat complicated and one needs to use some specific techniques to get rid of singularities. At low temperatures, $\delta(0)$ type pinch singularities appear in Minkowski space. This problem has been resolved in thermofield dynamics [9] by doubling the degrees of freedom. Therefore, the particle propagators become 2x2 matrices whose 1-1 elements correspond to the usual thermal propagators [1], i.e., in case of fermions

$$S_\beta(p) = (\not{p} - m)\left\{\frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon} - 2\pi\delta(p^2 - m^2)n_F(E_p)\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$n_F(E_p) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(p.u)} + 1}\ , \tag{2}$$

is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function with $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$. The boson propagator is taken as

$$D_\beta^{\mu\nu}(p) = [\frac{i}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} - 2\pi\delta(k^2)n_B(k)] \tag{3}$$

with

$$n_B(E_k) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(k.u)} - 1}, \tag{4}$$

is the Bose-Einstein distribution function in the usual notation. The renormalization of QED in this scheme [1-5] has already been checked in detail at the one loop level for all temperatures and chemical potentials. At the higher-loop level, the loop integrals involve a combination of cold and hot terms which appear due to the overlapping propagator terms in the matrix element. These higher order integral calculations are undoubtedly much more involved and some special techniques are needed to be used for them up to the two loop level. Even higher loops may not be manageable analytically. The electron self-energy has already been calculated at the two-loop level [4]. The photon self-energy calculations are even more involved so we restrict ourselves to the low-temperatures to explicitly prove the renormalizability of QED at the two-loop level through the order by order cancelation of hot singularities. In the next section we give the detailed calculations of the vacuum polarization tensor. Section 3 is comprised of the calculations of the renormalization constants of QED up to the two-loop level. The electromagnetic properties of the hot medium are discussed in section 4. Discussions of these results is included in section 5.

2 VACUUM POLARIZATION TENSOR IN QED

The vacuum polarization tensor of photon at the two-loop level gives the second order hot corrections to the charge renormalization constant of QED at low temperature. This contribution basically comes from the selfmass and vertex type of electron loop corrections inside the vacuum polarization tensor. The diagrams in Fig.1 give the main thermal contribution through the hot virtual photon to the vacuum polarization tensor up to the order α^2 at low temperature, i.e., $T \ll m_e$. In our scheme of calculations, the self energy of photon in Fig.(1a) is given by

$$\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p) = \Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p,T=0)+e^4\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4}\gamma_\mu S_\beta(k)\gamma_\rho D_\beta^{\rho\sigma}(l)S_\beta(k+l)\gamma_\sigma S_\beta(k)\gamma_\nu S_\beta(p-k) \tag{5}$$

while that in Fig. (1b) is

$$\Pi^b_{\mu\nu}(p) = \Pi^b_{\mu\nu}(p,T=0)+e^4\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4}\gamma_\mu S_\beta(k)\gamma_\rho D_\beta^{\rho\sigma}(l)S_\beta(k+l)\gamma_\nu S_\beta(k+l-p)\gamma_\rho S_\beta(k-p). \tag{6}$$

The hot terms give the overlapping divergent terms and the removal of this divergence to establish the renormalization is done using different calculational techniques, which includes thermofield dynamics also. We have used an alternative technique to get rid of these $\delta(0)$ type pinch singularities [10] through the identity.

$$\left[\delta(k^2)\right]^2 = -\frac{\delta(k^2)}{k^2+i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\delta'(k^2). \tag{7}$$

This corresponds to the evaluation of the 1-1 component of the matrix propagator only. Doubling the degrees of freedom is not required in this approach because the order by order cancelation of singularities can explicitly be shown by the use of Eq.(7). In this way one gets rid of the pinch singularities without summing over the unphysical ghost terms of hard-loops. However, in this technique, the accuracy of results depend on the order of integration. One has to use the right order of integration to get the correct results. The renormalizability can also be established for the right order of integration only. Integration of thermal loop-integrals has to be done before the temperature-independent cold-loop integrals. The justification of this order could be found in the fact that the hot contribution in this technique correspond to the contribution of real background particles on mass-shell and incorporates thermal equilibrium. However the breaking of Lorentz invariance changes these conditions for the cold integrals. So the renormalization can only be proven with the right order of integration. To establish the renormalizability, it is sufficient to include the 1-1 component of the matrix propagator only. We do not have to include the off-diagonal elements of the propagator matrices in our calculations. Moreover, the other components of the matrix propagators can be related to 1-1 components in thermofield dynamics [9]. We restrict ourselves to low temperatures where hot fermion contribution in background is suppressed and the only thermal contributions come from the hot photons in the background heat bath. Therefore, the cold fermion and hot photon propagators are included. The calculations are simplified if the temperature dependent integrations are done before the temperature independent ones. The cold loops can later be integrated using the standard techniques of Feynman parametrization and dimensional regularization, as is done in vacuum and are discussed in standard textbooks [11] . As an illustration of the importance of the correct order of integration, we just compare the results of one term. Let's consider the singular terms when the hot loop in Fig.(1b) is evaluated before the cold one, we simply get

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Pi^{a}_{\mu\nu}(p,T)=\frac{\alpha^2T^2}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{8}$$

whereas, in the same term, the evaluation of the hot loop after the cold one gives

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Pi^{a}_{\mu\nu}(p,T)=-\frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2}\frac{4\pi^2T^2}{3\varepsilon}-\frac{\pi^2T^2}{5}-\frac{2T^3}{5m^2}\zeta\left(3\right)\left(3|\mathbf{p}|+\frac{49}{3}p_0\right)+\frac{52p_0^3T^4}{5m^4|\mathbf{p}|}. \tag{9}$$

The details of the calculations for Eqs.(8) and (9) are given in Appendix. This order of calculations has to be maintained everywhere. Same order of integration was also maintained in the calculations of electron self-energy in Ref.[4]. This was the only way to show the order by order cancelation of singularities in the calculation of the second order electron mass even at high temperatures, i.e; T≫ m_e. The calculation of thermal corrections to the self-energy of photon becomes very cumbersome at high temperatures. However, it would help to determine the corresponding changes in the electromagnetic properties of hot media. Although it might be very long calculations and difficult to do analytically without using any approximations, especially at temperatures near electron mass. The calculation of contribution of density effect will also be pretty complicated at the two-loop level. However the first order contributions have already been estimated for dense media in this scheme[3,5].

In a covariant formalism, the physically measurable couplings can be evaluated through the contraction of the vacuum polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ with the metric in Minkowski space $g^{\mu\nu}$ and $u^{\mu} u^{\nu}$ [5]. This helps in evaluating the longitudinal and transverse components of vacuum polarization tensors in order to evaluate the thermal contribution to the electric permittivity and magnetic susceptibility. The gauge invariant finite contributions of the sum of all the diagrams of Fig.(1) are

$$u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p,T) = -\frac{2\alpha^2 T^2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{p_0^2}{2m^2}\right), \tag{10}$$

and

$$g^{\mu\nu} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p,T) = \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{3}. \tag{11}$$

.

Moreover, the longitudinal and the transverse components of the vacuum polarization tensor that can be calculated from Eqs.(10) and (11) are

$$\Pi_L(p,T) = -\frac{p^2}{|\mathbf{p}|^2} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p,T) = \frac{2\alpha^2 T^2 p^2}{3|\mathbf{p}|^2} \left(1 + \frac{p_0^2}{2m^2}\right), \tag{12}$$

and

$$\Pi_T(p,T) = -\frac{1}{2}[\Pi_L(p,T) - g^{\mu\nu} \Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p,T)] = \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p^2}{|\mathbf{p}|^2} \left(1 + \frac{p_0^2}{2m^2}\right)\right]. \tag{13}$$

respectively. These components of the vacuum polarization tensor can then be used to determine the electromagnetic properties of a medium with hot photons and will be discussed in the next section. It is worth-mentioning that Fig.(1b) gives major contributions to the finite terms in the above equations. However, the other two diagrams are needed to establish the cancelation of singularities even at low temperature. It is also interesting to note that the order of singularities is the same as the order of α in the matrix element. It is not an accidental occurrence of the matching order of singularity. It is due to the fact that the number of loops and the number of maximum delta functions in a term in the matrix element are the same and would correspond to the highest order of hot divergences. In the next section we have evaluated the renormalization constants of QED up to the two loop level at finite temperature.

3 THE RENORMALIZATION CONSTANTS

In this section we discuss the thermal contributions to all of the renormalization constants of QED namely electron mass, electron charge and the electron wavefunction. Electron charge renormalization gives the thermal corrections to the coupling constant of QED, i.e; the fine structure constant.

3.1 THE ELECTRON MASS

The second order in α corrections to the electron self energy at low temperature have been calculated [4] to be equal to

$$\Sigma_\beta(p) = \Sigma_\beta(p, T=0) - \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi^3}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}[(\not p + 6m)I_A - 2I] - 3(\not p + 4m)I_A - 4\gamma_\mu I^\mu - \frac{8\pi T^2}{3m^2}(\not p - m)\right] \tag{14}$$

where the singularity

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\eta} - \gamma - \ln(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}), \tag{15}$$

is the usual ultrviolet divergence in the vacuum field theory in MS bar scheme of renormalization with γ as the Euler- Mascheroni constant and

$$I_A = 8\pi \int \frac{dk}{k} n_B(k), \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{I^0}{E} = \frac{2\pi^3 T^2}{3E^2 v} \ln\frac{1+v}{1-v}, \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{I}.\mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|^2} = \frac{2\pi^3 T^2}{3E^2 v^3}[\ln\frac{1+v}{1-v} - 2v], \tag{18}$$

with $v = \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{p_0}$ [2,12]. The divergences of the form I_A and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ in Eq.(14) cancel on addition of the appropriate counter terms. The finite contribution then leads to the physical mass of electron:

$$m^2_{phys} = m^2\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha\pi T^2}{3m^2} + \frac{4\alpha^2 T^2}{3m^2}\right), \tag{19}$$

The electron mass and charge renormalization can be obtained from the two loop self-energy for electrons and photons respectively. From Eq. (19) the change in electron mass due to temperature up to the order α^2 relative to the cold electron mass m is

$$\frac{\delta m}{m} = \frac{T^2}{m^2}\ \left(\alpha\pi + 4\alpha^2\right). \tag{20}$$

The renormalizability of the selfmass of electron is proven not only through the order by order cancellation of singularities at both loop levels but also through the convergence of the perturbative series. It can also be seen in Eq.(20) that the second order term is much smaller than the first order term in the above equations. However, the situation looks a little different in charge renormalization because of the absence of the first order thermal corrections to the photon self-energy at low temperatures.

3.2 CHARGE RENORMALIZATION

Using Eq. (13) from the last section and the standard method of the evaluation of the charge renormalization constant of QED, the electron charge renormalization up to the order α^2 can be expressed as

$$Z_3 = 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{6m^2}\ . \tag{21}$$

One can easily notice the absence of the first order temperature dependent term in Eq.(21). This is due to the absence of self interaction of photons in QED and has been discussed in detail in Ref. [5]. The second term in the above equation, the second-order contribution is the vacuum term is much smaller than 1 (vacuum part). The corresponding value of the QED coupling constant comes out to be

$$\alpha_R = \alpha(T=0)(1 + \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{6m^2}). \tag{22}$$

It can be clearly seen in the above equations that the electron charge and hence the QED coupling constant goes smaller with the increased order of loops which assures the renormalization of electron charge clearly. This change in the coupling constant leads to changes in the electromagnetic properties of a medium which we will discuss in the next section.

3.3 WAVEFUNCTION RENORMALIZATION

Using the same standard methods of QED and using the electron charge renormalization constant, the wavefunction renormalization constant comes out to be

$$Z_2^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\left(4 - \frac{3}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2}\left(I_A - \frac{I^0}{E}\right) - \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi^2}\left(3 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) I_A + \frac{2\alpha^2 T^2}{3\pi^2 m^2}. \quad (23)$$

It is noticeable again that the higher order terms are dominated by the lower order terms which assures the renormalizability of QED upto the two-loop level at finite temperature. In the next section we discuss the corresponding changes in the electromagnetic properties of a medium at low temperature.

4 ELECTROMAGNETIC PROPERTIES IN A MEDIUM

This is a well-known fact that the electromagnetic properties of media depend [7] on its statistical properties. However one-loop corrections does not change it much at low temperature whereas the second order corrections contribute to them. It can be seen using Ref. [5] that the electric permittivity of the medium at the two loop level modifies to

$$\varepsilon(p,T) = 1 - |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Pi_L(p,T) = 1 - \frac{2\alpha^2 T^2 p^2}{3}\left(1 + \frac{p_0^2}{2m^2}\right), \quad (24)$$

whereas the magnetic susceptibility is given by

$$\frac{1}{\mu(p,T)} = 1 + \frac{1}{p^2}[\Pi_T(p,T) - \frac{p_0^2}{|\mathbf{p}|^2}\Pi_L(p,T)] = 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{3}\left[\frac{1}{2p^2} - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{p}|^2}\left(1 + \frac{p_0^2}{2m^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{2p_0^2}{|\mathbf{p}|^2}\right)\right]. \quad (25)$$

Equations (24) and (25) show that the second order radiative emission and absorption of hot photons from the heat bath leads to deviations from unity in the values of the dielectric constant and the magnetic permeability. This particular feature is not present at the one-loop level at low temperature because of the absence of FTD corrections to Π_L and Π_T for cold fermions. There are two possible limits for Π_L and Π_T as $p^2 \longrightarrow 0$ and their physical interpretations depend on the order of taking the limits $p_0 = 0$ and $|\mathbf{p}| \longrightarrow 0$. In Eqs. (21) and (22), if $p_0 = |\mathbf{p}|$ in the rest frame of the heat bath and then the limit $|\mathbf{p}| \longrightarrow 0$ is taken, we get

$$\kappa_L^2 \longrightarrow \lim_{|\mathbf{p}| \longrightarrow 0} \Pi_L(0, |\mathbf{p}|, T) = 0, \quad (26)$$

and ,

$$\kappa_T^2 \longrightarrow \lim_{|\mathbf{p}| \longrightarrow 0} \Pi_T(0, |\mathbf{p}|, T) = \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{2}. \quad (27)$$

On the other hand if we set $p_0 = 0$ with $|\mathbf{p}| \longrightarrow 0$ then we obtain

$$\omega_L^2 \longrightarrow \lim_{|\mathbf{p}|\longrightarrow 0} \Pi_L(|\mathbf{p}|, |\mathbf{p}|, T) = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\omega_T^2 \longrightarrow \lim_{|\mathbf{p}|\longrightarrow 0} \Pi_T(|\mathbf{p}|, |\mathbf{p}|, T) = \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{6}.$$

This change in electromagnetic properties have been discussed in a little more detail in the next section and the possible implications of these results are also mentioned thereafter.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

It is known from Refs.[5] that the low temperature effects on vacuum polarization in the photon background at the one loop level are zero because of the absence of self couplings of photons. At the higher loop level the vacuum polarization contribution is non-zero, even at low temperature due to the overlapping hot and cold terms. Most of these terms are finite or simple to regulate through the addition of all of the same order diagrams. However, the complications arise due to the pinch singularities in overlapping divergences. The pinch type singularities are treated using the identity in Eq.(7). The renormalization of the theory can only be proved if covariant hot integrals are evaluated before the cold divergent integrals. Once the Lorentz invariance breaking hot loop energies are integrated out, the usual techniques of Feynman parametrization and dimensional regularization can be applied to perform the cold loop Lorentz invariant terms, as in vacuum. It is also worth-mentioning that all the hot corrections gives T^2 dependance. The incorrect order of integration gives increasing order of T also (See Eq.(9)). At the two loop level, it can be seen from Eqs.(16) - (18) that the vertex type corrections to the virtual electrons vanish and the self energy type corrections to the electron loops contribute at low temperatures. The dominant temperature corrections in this hot medium are the lowest order α, which ensures the convergence of perturbative series. Since the thermal effects are incorporated as perturbative effects, at sufficiently low energies ($E << m_e$), the finite temperature corrections become negligible and we can always reproduce the vacuum results by taking T=0 limit in our results. The presence of the statistical effects of photons modifies the vacuum polarization and hence the electron charge which leads to the changes (though small) in the electromagnetic properties of the hot medium even at low temperatures. The mass, the wavefunction, and the charge of electron are renormalized in the presence of the background heat bath and the calculated renormalization constants in QED are in the hot photon background up to the second order in α. These renormalized values can simply give the physical mass of electron and the effective charge and the wavefunction of the electron in such a background. Equations (25) and (26) give the estimation of the dielectric constant and the magnetic permeability of a hot medium. Both of these quantities deviate from unity whereas their values were unity at the one loop level.

It may be noted that as $p^2 \longrightarrow 0$, Eq. (22) reduces to

$$\Pi_T(p) = \frac{\alpha^2 T^2}{6}, \tag{29}$$

which corresponds to the dynamically generated thermal mass of photon in the hot background. This type of effect has been earlier observed for self-mass of gluon even at the one-loop level [6]. We further obtain the respective propagation vectors and frequencies when we take the limiting values for the longitudinal and transverse component of the vacuum polarization tensor in Eqs. (21) and (22). In particular, in Eq. (27), $\frac{2\alpha^2 T^2}{3}$ represents the Debye screening length of electric force in such a medium. In this way, our results are not only an explicit proof of renormalizability of QED up to the two-loop level, they also estimate the temperature dependent modification in the electromagnetic properties of a medium. This helps to evaluate the decay rates and the scattering crossections [13] of particles in such media. This is a bit lengthy procedure, however it gives a good estimate of the background contribution. In our next projects, we would try estimate the renormalization constants of QED in hot and dense media at the two-loop level and would apply the same procedure in the presence of a constant magnetic field in the background. Such work is being done in other schemes [14] as well.

6 APPENDIX

The hot contribution at low temperature, comes from the photon background only. Eq. (12) for Fig. (2a) therefore simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p, T=0) - 2\pi i e^4 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4} N^a_{\mu\nu} \delta(l^2) n_B(l) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(k^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon)^2 \left[(p-k)^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon\right] \left[(k+l)^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon\right]},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N^a_{\mu\nu} &= 8\left[\left(3m^2 - k^2 - 2k.l\right)\right] k_\mu(p-k)_\nu + k_\nu(p-k)_\mu - g_{\mu\nu}k.(p-k) + \left(k^2 - m^2\right)\{l_\mu(p-k)_\nu + \\ &\quad l_\nu(p-k)_\mu - g_{\mu\nu}l.(p-k)\} + 2g_{\mu\nu}m^2(m^2 - k.l)],\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p,T) = \tfrac{16e^4}{(2\pi)^6}\int \tfrac{\left(2p.k-k^2-m^2\right)d^4k}{(k^2-m^2)^2(k^2-m^2-2p.k)}\int |\mathbf{l}|d|\mathbf{l}|n_B(l)$$

with

$$\int |\mathbf{l}|d|\mathbf{l}|n_B(l) = \frac{\pi^2T^2}{6}.$$

Now integrating over the cold loop by using Feynman parametrization and dimensional regularization one gets Eq. (14). On the other hand, first doing Feynman parametrization, integrating by dimensional regularization, and simplifying one gets

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Pi^b_{\mu\nu}(p,T) = \tfrac{e^4}{8\pi^5}\int d^4l\delta(l^2)n_B(l)\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\int_0^y dz$$
$$[\tfrac{1}{\{-m^2-2p.ly(y+z)\}^2}\{-2(p.l)^2(2y^4+2y^2z^2+4y^3z-y^2-yz)+m^2(p.l)(6y^2+6yz-$$
$$y+z)+4m^4\}+\tfrac{(p.l)(24y^2+24yz-18y-12z)-6m^2}{m^2-2p.ly(y+z)}-12\{\tfrac{1}{\eta}-\gamma-\ln\left(\tfrac{4\pi}{-m^2-2p.ly(y+z)}\right)\}],$$

and

$$g^{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}(p,T) = \tfrac{e^4}{8\pi^4}\int d\mu\int |\mathbf{l}|d|\mathbf{l}|n_B(l)\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\int_0^y dz\{2(2y^4+2y^2z^2+4y^3z-$$
$$y^2-yz)|\mathbf{l}|^2\left[\tfrac{\left(p_0-|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right)^2}{a_+^2}+\tfrac{\left(p_0+|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right)^2}{a_-^2}\right]+m^2|\mathbf{l}|(6y^2+6yz-y+z)\left[\tfrac{\left(p_0-|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right)}{a_+^2}-\tfrac{\left(p_0+|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right)}{a_-^2}\right]+$$
$$|\mathbf{l}|(24y^2+24yz-18y-12z)\left[\tfrac{\left(p_0-|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right)}{a_+^2}-\tfrac{\left(p_0+|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right)}{a_-^2}\right]+4m^4\left[\tfrac{1}{a_+^2}+\tfrac{1}{a_-^2}\right]$$
$$-6m^2\left[\tfrac{1}{a_+}+\tfrac{1}{a_-}\right]-12\left[2(\tfrac{1}{\eta}-\gamma-\ln 4\pi)-\ln(a_+)-\ln(a_-)\right]\},$$

where

$$a_\pm = m^2 \pm 2y(y+z)\left(p_{0\,-+}|\mathbf{p}|\mu\right).$$

After a lengthy calculation, Eq. (38) finally simplifies to Eq. (15).

7 REFERENCES

1. J. F. Donoghue and B. R. Holstein, Phys. Rev. **D28,** 340 (1983); E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. **B337,** 567(1990) ; R. Kobes, Phys. Rev. **D42,** 562 (1990) ; L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. **D9,** 3320 (1974) ; P. Landsman and Ch G. Weert, Phys. Rep. **145,** 141 (1987) and the references therein.

2. K. Ahmed and Samina Saleem (Masood), Phys. Rev. **D35,** 1861(1987) ; *ibid* 4020 (1987) and several other papers refered therein.

3. Samina S. Masood, Phys. Rev. **D44,** 3943 (1991) ; Samina S. Masood, Phys. Rev. **D47,** 648 (1993) ; *ibid* Phys. Rev. **D36,** 2602 (1987) .

4. Mahnaz Qader (Haseeb), Samina S. Masood, and K. Ahmed, Phys. Rev. **D44,** 3322 (1991); *ibid* Phys. Rev. **D46**, 5633 (1992) and references therein.

5. K. Ahmed and Samina S. Masood, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **207,** 460(1991) ; Samina Masood, Phys. Rev. **D44**, 3943(1991) and references therein.

6. Samina Masood and Mahnaz Qader (Haseeb), Astroparticle Phys. **3,**405 (1995) .

7. Samina S. Masood, Phys. Rev. **D48,** 3250 (1993) ; Samina S. Masood, Astroparticle Physics, **4**, 189 (1995) ; Samina Masood, Proceedings of the Europhysics Conference in Brussels, 524 (1995), and Samina S. Masood, **hep-ph/0109042** and references therein.

8. See for example, C. Brouder, A. Frabetti **hep-ph//0011161** and F. T. Brandt, Ashok Das, J. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 085017 (2002) and references therein.

9. H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto, and M. Tachiki, *Thermofield Dynamics and Condensed States* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), A. Das, *Finite Temperature Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997) and several other references.

10. L. R. Mohan, Phys. Rev. **D14,** 2670 (1976).

11. See for example: C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw- Hill Inc., 1990).

12. J. F. Donoghue and B. R. Holstein in Ref. [1].

13. Samina S. Masood and Mahnaz Qader (Haseeb), Phys. Rev. **D46,** 5110 (1992) , 'Finite Temperature Corrections to Electroweak Decays', Proceedings of the Fourth Regional Conference on Mathematical Physics, Sharif University, Tehran, Iran., 334 (1990). Samina S. Masood, **hep-ph/0108126** and references therein.

14. See for example, F. T. Brandt, *et.al.*, **hep-th/0601227** and J. Alexandre, Phys. Rev. **D63,** 073010 (2001).